

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/963,573	HERZINGER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Roy M. Punnoose	2877

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Roy M. Punnoose. (3) _____.

(2) Attorney James Welci (Reg. No.31,216). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 March 2004

Time: 10:49 AM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

8 and 9

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner's amendment was done to correct a lack of antecedent basis in claims 8 and 9, and also to better describe applicant's claimed invention.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature) 08 MAR. 2004

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)